File With		
1		

# **SECTION 131 FORM**

ABP— '\$144	8722	
То		
SEO		
	Observ	2500 11/10/22
Having considered the	e contents of the s <del>ubmission</del>	dated/received /5/9/26
from Jerek /7	anlan. I recor	nmend that section 131 of the Plannin
and Development Act,	, 2000 be/not be invoked at the	nis stage for the following reason(s):
No ren	issues Paiss	
Signed	Dat	e
(San 2)		13/10/22
EO CONTRACTOR		110/12
То		
EO		
	Secondary In the Control of Contr	
Section 131 not to be i	invoked at this stage.	
	· ·	.vla
Section 131 to be invo	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo	· ·	
Section 131 to be invo	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 not to be invo	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo Signed SEO Signed	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo Signed SEO Signed	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo Signed SEO Signed	oked — allow 2/4 weeks for re	
Section 131 to be invo	Dat	
Section 131 to be invo	Dat	
Section 131 to be invo	Dat	
Section 131 to be invo	Date  — Section 131 notice enclose	sing a copy of the attached submission
Section 131 to be invo	Date  — Section 131 notice enclose	sing a copy of the attached submission  Allow 2/3/4 weeks  BP
Section 131 to be invo	Date	sing a copy of the attached submission  Allow 2/3/4 weeks  BP
Section 131 to be invo	Date	sing a copy of the attached submission  Allow 2/3/4 weeks  BP
Section 131 to be invo	Date	sing a copy of the attached submission  Allow 2/3/4 weeks  BP



## **Planning Appeal Online Observation**

#### **Online Reference** NPA-OBS-001278

#### **Online Observation Details**

**Contact Name** Derek Hanlon

**Lodgement Date** 18/09/2022 23:47:26 Case Number / Description

314485

#### **Payment Details**

**Payment Method** Online Payment **Cardholder Name** Derek Hanlon

**Payment Amount** 

€50.00

### **Processing Section**

S.131 Consideration Required

Yes — P.T.O. N/A — Invalid

BP40 to issue

Observation on a Planning Appeal:

Case Reference: PL06F.314485 Dublin Airport, Co. Dublin (F20A/0668).

#### My areas of concern are as follows:

- 1. The DAA have lodged a planning submission to extend the night flight operating hours and also remove the restriction on the number of night time flights from 65 a night, in favour of using a Noise Quota Scheme of > 16000 Noise Quota Limit which far exceeds all London airports combined. This seems excessive and would subject us to flights taking off for 18 hours a day over our home without restriction on the number of flights per night.
- 2. Noise Levels. As per DAA's flight paths and noise contour map, our home is currently deemed to be outside and therefore should not be impacted. However this has proven to be incorrect since the opening of the North Runway. We have had low flights directly over our home recording 90db.
- 3. There is a lack of engagement and consultation from DAA with local residents in relation to changes to flight paths which are in contravention to their 2007 planning permission for the North Runway. They continue to breach their planning daily since the opening of the North Runway and therefore it would be feared that the same would occur with this planning application for extension of night flights.
- 4. Health and wellbeing is of serious concern for my family and neighbours. Reasons above would have a serious impact on our daily lives. DAA's blatant disregard to adhere to existing planning and engage with residents is already taking a toll on our community.

Derek Hanlon

Skephubble, Saint Margaret's, County Dublin. K67 RD00.